

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Whyshigton, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,910	04/03/2000	WALTER SEBALD	LEA32545	6688
	90 03/11/2003			
JEFFREY M GREENMAN BAYER CORPORATION 400 MORGAN LANE WEST HAVEN, CT 06516			EXAMINER	
			SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN	
WESTIAVEN	, C1 00510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistance O		09/509,910	SEBALD, WALTER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jegatheesan Seharaseyon	1647				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
	Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)□ T	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)∐ T	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)🛛 🗸	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[∑	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Informat E	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1647

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set fort h in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/10/03 in Paper Nos: 19 and 20 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 5-10 are pending. Applicant has amended claim 9 and added claim 10.
  Claims 5-8 are withdrawn from further consideration because it is drawn to non-elected invention. Therefore claims 9 and 10 are under consideration.
- 3. The text of those sections of title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in the previous office action (Paper No: 11 and 13).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 withdrawn

- 4. Applicants amendment to claim 9 has necessitated in the withdrawal of the pending rejection as being unpatentable over Kruse et al. (1993) in view of Duschl (1995).
- 5. The following are new grounds of rejection necessitated by applicant's submission of a new claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Application/Control Number: 09/509,910

Art Unit: 1647

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Greve et al. (U.S. Patent No: 6, 028, 176)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

The instant invention is directed to hIL-4 mutein proteins that have reduced affinity and/or an altered specificity to the  $\gamma$ subunit of the IL-4 receptor and/or HIL-13R  $\alpha$  subunit of the hIL-4 receptor.

Greve et al. teaches production of the muteins of IL-4 I11A, K12A, N15A and N15D (Table 1). Reduced affinity and/or an altered specificity to the  $\gamma$  subunit of the IL-4 receptor and/or HIL-13R  $\alpha$  subunit of the hIL-4 receptor is inherent to the mutations disclosed. It also discusses additional changes at positions 121 and 124 and its effect on the binding and specificity (see column 17 and 18). Therefore, the disclosure of Greve et al. anticipates claims 9 and 10.

Page 4

7. No claims are allowable.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 703-305-1112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

JS

March 10, 2003